Class and Section: MIS4173 Date: 04/01/2022

## **Assignment: Sprint Review & Retrospective 3**

Team 5:

Alexes Carroll, Sean Harris, Nick Setliff

SR&R 3 Documentation Grade: **85** SR&R 3 Presentation Grade: **85**

***Presentation graded with COB BCC Rubric by Richard O’Dor***

#### SR&R 3 – Overall

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Content  (Overall Project) | 4- Exceptional  3 -Good  2- Satisfactory  1- Poor  0 -Unacceptable | **Comments:**  You all did a good job on your sprint. Take my feedback and change what needs to be changed. If you need anything, let me know.  Dr. Kisling (04/01/2022) |

#### SR&R 3 – Documentation

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Requirement** | **Points Possible** | **Points Earned** |
| **Overall Organization** |  |  |
| Title Page | **2** | 2 |
| Table of Contents | **2** | 1 |
| **SR&R 3 Required Documents** |  |  |
| **Project Documents New, Fixes, & Updates** |  |  |
| Previous Documentation Updates | **5** | 5 |
| Complete Data Flow Diagram Package | **20** | 17 |
| Navigation Diagram | **5** | 4 |
| Entity Relationship Diagrams | **10** | 9 |
| Program Plan showing logic and I/Os (IPO Chart with each program listed) | **10** | 10 |
| New Documentation | **5** | 5 |
| **Sprint Documents** |  |  |
| Updated Product Backlog | **7** | 6 |
| Sprint 3 Backlog | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 3 Burn Down Chart | **7** | 6 |
| Sprint 3 Daily SCRUM Meeting Log | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 3 Team Evaluation Document | **7** | 0 |
| **Updated Project Plan** | **6** | 6 |
| **Total possible points** | **100** | 85 |

#### SR&R 3 – Presentation

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Requirement** | **Points Possible** | **Points Earned** |
| **Presentation** |  |  |
| Overall Organization of Presentation | **5** | 5 |
| Introduction to Presentation | **5** | 5 |
| DFD Package | **30** | 26 |
| Initial ERD & IPO Chart | **15** | 14 |
| Project Updates on Previous/New Information/Documentation | **10** | 10 |
| **Sprint Items** |  |  |
| Updated Product Backlog | **5** | 5 |
| Sprint 3 Backlog | **5** | 5 |
| Sprint 3 Burn Down Chart | **5** | 5 |
| Sprint 3 Potentially Shippable Product Increment | **10** | 0 |
| **Discussion with Class** |  |  |
| Facilitation | **5** | 5 |
| Input from peers | **5** | 5 |
| **Total possible points** | **100** | 85 |

**Comments:**

DOCUMENTATION

* Good job on making your report start looking like a business document. Looks good. Check your spacing—some headings are directly under the previous paragraph while the rest have one blank line prior to the heading
* Right justify the page numbers on your table of contents
* Do not have a heading called New Documentation (page 7, 12)
* Why are there multiple Level 0 DFDs (pages 7,8,13)?
* See all comments about DFD, ERD, IPO, NAV below in the PRESENTATION section
* Sprint items
  + System product backlog has no user requirements
  + SCRUM meeting log is good
  + Burndown chart needs to be fixed. The formula is incorrect on the data sheet.
  + Sprint 3 Team Evaluation is missing
* Project plan appears to look okay. Please send me the file you are doing it in.
* If you need help with anything, please let me know. I am here to help you all be successful

PRESENTATION

* Your presentation was good
* DFD Feedback:
  + Level 0 DFD
    - Process 3.0—Why did you remove the Email datastore? I am assuming that stores the emails of the individuals filling out the forms—I am asking because you just made Process 3.0 a miracle process since nothing leads to it from any of the other datastores or processes or entities
    - Datastore names do not match ERD table names
    - If you move D5 under D6, you can eliminate the line crossings that D5 is currently doing
  + Level 1 DFD Process 1.0
    - Customer entity only has one dataflow going to 1.1, but on level 0 it has 2 dataflows going to 1.0
    - Process 1.2 is a miracle since nothing is going to it
    - Data has gone to die in all datastores—if data leads elsewhere, just have an arrow leaving each datastore
    - Slide 4 is not a level 1 DFD since it does not meet the rules of DFDs (3 to 7 processes)
* Nav diagram needs arrowheads. Also there are no returns so I guess you have to exit the application once you get somewhere in the system
* ERD looks fine – DFD datastores do not match the ERD table names
* IPO chart is excellent
* HW & SW requirements are fine
* Sprint items were fine
* You did not provide a PSPI